

## 1 Proof of Proposition 2

*Proof.* Recall problem  $(\mathcal{P}_1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{P}} \langle \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{P} \rangle + \epsilon \sum_{i,j} P_{i,j} \log P_{i,j} \\ & s.t. P_{i,j} \geq 0, \quad \sum_j P_{i,j} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_i P_{i,j} = \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned} \tag{\mathcal{P}_1}$$

We manipulate  $(\mathcal{P}_1)$  using method of Lagrangian multipliers. Introducing multipliers  $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\beta_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Define

$$\mathcal{L} \triangleq \langle \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{P} \rangle + \epsilon \sum_{i,j} P_{i,j} \log P_{i,j} - \sum_i \alpha_i \left( \sum_j P_{i,j} - 1 \right) - \sum_j \beta_j \left( \sum_i P_{i,j} - \frac{m}{n} \right)$$

The optimizer of  $(\mathcal{P}_1)$  is the optimizer of the following min-max problem,

$$\min_{\mathbf{P}: P_{i,j} \geq 0} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

The minimizer  $\mathbf{P}^*$  can be obtained by setting

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial P_{i,j}} = D_{i,j} + \epsilon (\log P_{i,j} + 1) - \alpha_i - \beta_j = 0,$$

which gives the minimizer  $\mathbf{P}^*$

$$P_{i,j}^* = \exp \left( -\frac{D_{i,j} - \alpha_i - \beta_j}{\epsilon} - 1 \right).$$

Substitute the  $P_{i,j}^*$ 's into  $\mathcal{L}$ , simplify, gives

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_i \alpha_i + \frac{m}{n} \sum_j \beta_j - \epsilon \sum_{i,j} \exp \left( -\frac{D_{i,j} - \alpha_i - \beta_j}{\epsilon} - 1 \right)$$

We now study

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is a concave function of  $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}]$ , whose maximizer can be obtained by setting its derivative to zero. We therefore let

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \alpha_i} = 1 - \sum_j \exp \left( -\frac{D_{i,j} - \alpha_i - \beta_j}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) = 0,$$

which gives the optimal  $\alpha_i^*$ ,

$$\alpha_i^* = -\epsilon \log \sum_j \exp \left( -\frac{D_{i,j} - \beta_j}{\epsilon} - 1 \right)$$

Resubstitute into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ , simplify, and we arrive at

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_i \left[ -\epsilon \log \sum_j \exp \left( -\frac{D_{i,j} - \beta_j}{\epsilon} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_j \beta_j \right].$$

We want to solve  $\max_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^*, \alpha^*, \beta)$ , which is equivalent to

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_i \left[ \epsilon \log \sum_j \exp \left( \frac{\beta_j - D_{i,j}}{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_j \beta_j \right].$$

Also, substituting the  $\alpha^*$  into  $\mathbf{P}^*$  gives

$$\mathbf{P}^* = \frac{\exp \left( \frac{\beta_j - D_{i,j}}{\epsilon} \right)}{\sum_j \exp \left( \frac{\beta_j - D_{i,j}}{\epsilon} \right)}.$$

□

## 2 Bilingual Lexicon Induction Results Using vocabularies of 200K

This section supplements Section 6.2 of the paper.

Table 1: P@1 values on the large test dictionary. Source and target vocabularies are both 200K

		target source	en	es	fr	it	pt	de
		NN	60.62	61.66	52.89	42.19	58.37	
en	NN	75.00	76.20	68.69	58.32	70.74		
	ISF	<b>75.18</b>	76.35	69.08	<b>58.81</b>	<b>71.06</b>		
	CSLS	75.17	<b>76.95</b>	<b>69.16</b>	58.64	69.69		
	GNN	65.14		67.21	68.17	72.17	54.56	
es	NN	76.98		80.61	79.88	82.14	67.53	
	ISF	76.94		80.36	79.87	82.95	<b>67.67</b>	
	CSLS	<b>77.82</b>		<b>81.49</b>	<b>80.83</b>	<b>83.72</b>	66.62	
	GNN	66.86	67.70		65.92	52.12	62.62	
fr	NN	78.34	79.92		77.72	65.71	74.37	
	ISF	78.49	80.30		78.07	66.62	<b>74.75</b>	
	CSLS	<b>79.16</b>	<b>80.59</b>		<b>78.44</b>	<b>67.02</b>	73.31	
	GNN	57.10	70.50	67.79		58.38	57.06	
it	NN	70.34	81.80	80.80		72.35	69.75	
	ISF	70.05	81.93	80.57		73.12	<b>69.91</b>	
	CSLS	<b>71.37</b>	<b>82.87</b>	<b>81.80</b>		<b>74.10</b>	68.85	
	GNN	47.81	75.31	54.36	58.99		44.69	
pt	NN	60.93	85.54	69.58	73.37		58.07	
	ISF	60.60	85.66	69.14	73.25		<b>58.32</b>	
	CSLS	<b>62.49</b>	<b>87.13</b>	<b>70.90</b>	<b>74.90</b>		57.08	
	GNN	60.61	50.05	59.51	52.93	39.17		
de	NN	<b>72.97</b>	<b>64.30</b>	<b>74.98</b>	<b>69.91</b>	<b>57.39</b>		
	ISF	72.21	63.60	73.96	68.73	55.97		
	CSLS	72.33	64.03	73.52	69.62	56.58		
	GNN							